7
_
_

1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	RONALD LIPTON and BRETT LIPTON,
4	Plaintiffs,
5	
6	-against- 00 Civ. 319(LEK)(RWS)
7	JOHN WOOTON, KEVIN LANE, EDWIN BREWSTER, THE TOWN OF WOODSTOCK, TOWN OF SAUGERTIES, GREG HULBERT, CHIEF OF THE TOWN OF SAUGERTIES POLICE DEPARTMENT and JOHN DOE, AN UNIDENTIFIED TOWN OF SAUGERTIES POLICE OFFICER,
9	Da Can Jank
_	Defendants.
.0	
.1	EXAMINATION BEFORE TRIAL
L2	
L 3	of the Defendant, GREGORY HULBERT, held on October 15th,
.4	·
L 5	2002, commencing at 1:10 p.m., at the offices of Valley
.6	Reporting Service, 115 Green Street, Kingston, New York,
.7	before Kimberly Burke, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary
.8	Public in and for the State of New York.
.9	* * * * *
20	
21	
22	
23	VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE
	115 Green Street
4	Kingston, New York 12401
5	(845) 331-4020

1 APPEARANCES 2 ROBERT N. ISSEKS, ESQ. Co-Counsel for the Plaintiffs 6 North Street Middletown, New York 10940 5 ALEX SMITH, ESQ. 6 Co-Counsel for the Plaintiffs 41 Dolson Avenue Middletown, New York 10940 8 McCABE & MACK, LLP Attorneys for the Defendants 9 John Wooton, Kevin Lane, Edwin Brewster , 10 and the Town of Woodstock 63 Washington Street P.O. Box 509 11 Poughkeepsie, New York 12602 12 DAVID L. POSNER, ESQ., 13 of Counsel PHELAN, BURKE & SCOLAMIERO 14 Attorneys for the Defendants 15 Greg Hulbert and the Town of Saugerties 16 302 Washington Avenue Extension P.O. Box 15085 17 Albany, New York 12212 18 BY: GERALD D. D'AMELIA JR., ESQ. of Counsel 19 20 ALSO PRESENT: 21 RONALD LIPTON BRETT LIPTON 22 KEVIN LANE EDWIN BREWSTER 23 JOHN WOOTON 24 25

VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE 845-331-4020

STIPULATIONS

and between the counsel for the respective parties hereto that all rights provided by the C.P.L.R., including the right to object to any question, except as to the form, or to move to strike any testimony at this examination, are reserved; and, in addition, the failure to object to any question or to move to strike testimony at this examination shall not be a bar or waiver to make such a motion at, and is reserved for, the trial of this action.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that this examination may be signed and sworn to by the witness being examined, before a Notary Public other than the Notary Public before whom this examination was begun, but the failure to do so, or to return the original of this examination to counsel, shall not be deemed a waiver of rights provided by Rules 3116 and 3117 of the C.P.L.R., and shall be controlled thereby.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED that the filing of the original of this examination shall be and the same is hereby waived.

VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE 845-331-4020

1 2 GREGORY HULBERT, 3 having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and testified as follows: * * * * * * * 5 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. ISSEKS: 7 Q. Mr. Hulbert, how are you employed? I'm the chief of the Saugerties Police Department. 9 Q. How long have you been chief? 10 Α. About twelve years. 11 Did there come a time when you met Ronald Lipton, Q. 12 the plaintiff in this case? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. When did you meet him? 15 A. February 14th, 1999. 16 Q. Did you meet his son Brett Lipton that day as 17 well? 18 A. No. 19 You did not? Q. 20 A. No, I did not. 21 Did you see Brett Lipton on February 14th, 1999? Q. 22 A. No, I did not. 23 Q. Had you had any communication from Ronald Lipton prior to February 14th, 1999? 24

25

A.

No.

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- Q. Did you ever receive a fax from Mr. Lipton prior
- 3 to February 14th?
- 4 A. Yes, prior to.
- 5 Q. Prior to February 14th?
- 6 A. I did, but I found it later.
- 7 Q. The fax that you found later, to whom was that fax
- 8 addressed?
- 9 A. I don't remember. I think it was to me.
- 10 Q. Did it arrive at the Saugerties Police Department?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Or the police station, I should say.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Do you know the date of that fax? That is, when
- it was transmitted to the Saugerties police
- 16 station.
- 17 A. Not offhand, no.
- 18 Q. But it was on a date prior to February 14th?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Was it within the week prior to February 14th,
- 21 1999 that that fax was transmitted to the police
- 22 station?
- 23 A. I believe so.
- 24 Q. I'm going to show you the Defendants' Response to
- 25 the Plaintiffs' Demand for Production directed to

GREGORY HULBERT 1 2 the Town of Saugerties. Mr. D'Amelia's firm provided it to plaintiffs' counsel. 3 I'm going to direct your attention to a two-page document dated February 12th, 1999 which 5 is entitled "Facsimile Cover Page" and ask you if 6 this is the fax or a copy of the fax that you are 7 8 discussing at this time? 9 A. Yes. And the date, February 12th, 1999, that's on that 10 Q. document, does that refresh your recollection as 11

to when the document was transmitted to the

- I'm going to ask you to look at the Saugerties 2 Q.
- Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Demand for
- Production to see if you can identify any document
- in there which is a copy of the fax that you're
- referring to that came in after February 14th.
- Okay (witness complying). Yes, there is. 7 A.
- 8 Q. Does that document also have on top of it
- "Facsimile Cover Sheet"? 9
- 10 A. Yes.
- Is there a date on it? 11 Q.
- Α. 12 Yes.
- What is the date? 13 Q.
- A. July 23rd, 1999.
- Other than those two faxes that you have 15 Q.
- identified that are part of the defendants' 16
- production of documents, were there any other 17
- 18 written communications from either Ron or Brett
- 19 Lipton to yourself or the Saugerties Police
- 20 Department that you're aware of?
- Not that I know of. 21 Α.
- 22 Q. Did you receive any oral communication from either
- 23 Ron or Brett Lipton prior to February 14th, 1999?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Were you ever made aware that anyone from the

- Saugerties Police Department received such
- 3 communications from the Liptons prior to February
- 4 14th, 1999?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Before February 14th, 1999 and specifically before
- 7 the time that you met Ron Lipton on that date, had
- 8 you received any information from any police
- 9 agency?
- 10 A. Did I?
- 11 Q. As to Ron or Brett Lipton.
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Did anyone from the Saugerties Police Department
- 14 receive any information about Ron or Brett Lipton
- from any police agency prior to your meeting Ron
- 16 Lipton on the 14th?
- 17 A. As of the 14th I didn't know that, but I do now.
- 18 Q. That someone in Saugerties had received
- 19 information about the Liptons from another police
- 20 agency?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Who was it that received the information?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- 24 Q. When did you learn that such information had been
- 25 provided to Saugerties before you met Ron Lipton?

1	GREG	ORY HULBERT
2	A.	I don't know.
3	Q.	You don't know who gave you the information?
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	What is it that you learned about information
6		being transmitted or communicated to Saugerties
7		regarding either Ron or Brett Lipton coming into
8		the station before you met Ron on the 14th?
9	A.	Just that there was a piece of paper with red
10		magic marker that said "All read, police
11		information" and this was some information behind
12		that (indicating).
13	Q.	I'm going to again show you the Saugerties
14		Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Demand for
15		Production of Documents and ask you if you can
16		identify the document that has the magic marker
17		writing on it and also the document that came with
18		it that you just referred to.
19		MR. POSNER: After identifying it,
20		can you have these pieces of paper individually
21		marked.
22		MR. ISSEKS: They're all self-
23		explanatory.

MR. POSNER: Or identified very

24

25

clearly.

10 1 GREGORY HULBERT 2 MR. ISSEKS: So far they have been. 3 MR. POSNER: So far they have been. 4 Keep up the good work. 5 MR. ISSEKS: Perhaps we should have 6 the response marked for identification so that its 7 integrity is intact as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2, if you would like to do that. 8 9 MR. POSNER: That sounds like a very good housekeeping idea. 10 11 12 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2: DEFENDANTS' 13 RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' DEMAND FOR 14 DOCUMENT PRODUCTION, marked for 15 identification) 16 Could you read the document that has the red magic 17 Q. 18 marker into the record so that it's identified? (Reading) "All patrols please read, police info 19 A. 20 only 2/6/99." 21 Q. How about the document that accompanied that? This is daily log data entry screen from our 22 A. 23 computer system. 24 Q. And the information that accompanied it is one 25 page?

TELEFORM DEPONDENT CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 A. It's two pages.
- Q. What is the date of the data entry screen?
- 4 A. 2/6/99.
- 5 Q. Just so the record is clear, at the bottom of the
- first page is a narrative screen which reads as
- 7 follows: "Subject is concerned for her safety.
- 8 She recently left her boyfriend, Ron Lipton, who
- 9 has made threats toward her. Subject is sister of
- Jim Wrolsen, part-time officer, 108", 170 pounds,
- reddish brown hair, beard, mustache."
- On the second page, the typewritten portion
- ends with the sentence: "The above information
- 14 came from trooper's interview of Ms. Wrolsen,
- 15 ex-girlfriend of Lipton."
- Other than those documents, was there any
- other communication that your officer received --
- or I should say that the police department
- 19 received concerning Ron Lipton or Brett Lipton
- prior to February 14th, 1999?
- 21 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 22 Q. Now, the documents that we have just identified
- dated February 6th, 1999 with the attachment, you
- were not aware of them on February 14th, 1999?
- 25 A. No, I was not.

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- Q. So is it correct then when you met Ron Lipton that
- you had never heard of him before?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Where did you meet Ron Lipton on February 14th,
- 6 1999?
- 7 A. At my office.
- 8 Q. That's the police station?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. When you met Ron Lipton, was anyone else present?
- 11 A. Not during my conversation with him, no.
- 12 Q. How many conversations did you have with him on
- February 14th?
- 14 A. We conversed quite a bit, probably for about half
- an hour.
- 16 Q. Was it one continuous conversation that you had
- 17 that lasted approximately a half an hour?
- 18 A. Basically, yes.
- 19 Q. During that approximate half-hour meeting, was
- anyone else a participant in your conversation?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Did anyone else, to your knowledge, overhear the
- conversation that you had with Mr. Lipton?
- 24 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 25 Q. Was your conversation or any part thereof on

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 February 14th with Mr. Lipton recorded?
- 3 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- Q. What time of day was it that Mr. Lipton met you?
- 5 A. Off the top of my head, probably around 2:00 in
- the afternoon. I can't remember exactly what time
- 7 it was.
- 8 Q. When you first met Mr. Lipton, was it inside your
- 9 office or somewhere else?
- 10 A. Inside my office.
- 11 Q. Was he escorted in there?
- 12 A. I believe so.
- 13 Q. By whom?
- 14 A. Sergeant Tucker.
- 15 Q. Were you informed of Mr. Lipton's presence in the
- 16 police station before he was escorted into your
- 17 office?
- 18 A. I asked if he was there, because I was supposed to
- 19 meet him there.
- 20 Q. So the meeting that you had with Mr. Lipton was
- 21 prearranged?
- 22 A. That afternoon.
- 23 Q. Who prearranged it?
- 24 A. Mr. Lipton and I.
- Q. How did you do that; over the phone?

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 A. He called my house.
- 3 Q. What time did he call your house?
- 4 A. Shortly before that. I don't know exactly when.
- Q. Was that the first time that you ever spoke to him?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Had you been expecting a call from him?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. What was it that Mr. Lipton said to you and you
- said to him on the phone?
- 11 A. He said that he was a retired police officer out
- of New York City and he would like to meet with me
- concerning a very important issue and asked if I
- would be able to meet him in the office.
- Basically that was the gist of the conversation.
- I don't know if it's the whole thing.
- 17 Q. Did you agree to meet with him in your office?
- 18 A. I said yes.
- 19 Q. Did he tell you anything about any member of the
- Wrolsen family in that phone conversation?
- 21 A. I don't remember.
- 22 Q. Did he tell you anything regarding any
- relationship with a woman that he had?
- 24 A. He may have; that may have been what prompted me
- to come in. I don't remember.

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 Q. You agreed to meet with him, and shortly
- 3 thereafter you met him in the station?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. When he was escorted into your office, did
- 6 Sergeant Tucker say anything to you?
- 7 A. I don't think so.
- 8 Q. Did Sergeant Tucker leave the office after he
- 9 escorted Mr. Lipton?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What happened then? What did Mr. Lipton say to
- you and what did you say to him; if anything?
- 13 A. He wanted me to listen to some tapes and he had an
- 14 envelope to give me.
- 15 Q. What was in the envelope?
- 16 A. I don't know.
- 17 Q. You didn't look at it?
- 18 A. I looked at the envelope, but I don't know what
- was in it.
- 20 Q. You didn't examine the contents?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Did Mr. Lipton tell you what was in the envelope?
- 23 A. I believe he told me it was a letter to his
- 24 girlfriend.
- Q. Did he ask you to look at it?

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 A. I'm sorry?
- Q. Did he ask you to look at the letter to his
- 4 girlfriend?
- 5 A. I don't remember.
- 6 Q. Did you make a decision at that time not to look
- 7 at the letter?
- 8 A. I believe so.
- 9 Q. Why did you reach that decision?
- 10 A. I felt it was confidential. He may have asked me
- not to, I don't remember.
- 12 Q. Did you listen to the tapes that he had?
- 13 A. I listened to one tape.
- 14 Q. How long approximately was that tape that you
- 15 listened to?
- 16 A. We listened to it for quite awhile, I don't know,
- 17 ten minutes maybe.
- 18 Q. And that was while the two of you were in your
- office for that approximate one-half hour period?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. The tape recording that you listened to was a
- 22 recording of what?
- 23 A. Of a male and female. I believe it was Mr.
- Wrolsen, and I was told by Mr. Lipton that it was
- 25 Paula Wrolsen.

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- Q. Was the recording of a conversation over the
- 3 telephone?
- 4 A. I don't know where it was recorded.
- 5 Q. Do you recall, as you sit here today, anything
- that was said between those two parties; the
- 7 person who you understood to be Paula Wrolsen and
- 8 Mr. Wrolsen?
- 9 A. There was a lot of bashing of Jews; I guess the
- 10 father wanted the girl to stay away from him.
- 11 Q. "Him" being Mr. Lipton?
- 12 A. Mr. Lipton. I don't know if he mentioned his
- name; it may have been Ron on the tape. I don't
- 14 remember now the whole conversation. I know that
- he was -- Mr. Lipton was very concerned about the
- 16 contents of that tape.
- 17 Q. Was Mr. Lipton concerned about the anti-Semitic
- remarks that were made on the tape?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And it was your understanding that the male who
- 21 was speaking on the tape was Paula Wrolsen's
- 22 father?
- 23 A. I believe it was.
- Q. Had you ever met Paula Wrolsen's father before
- 25 February 14th, 1999?

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 A. Sure, yes.
- Q. Was he a social acquaintance of yours?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. When you say "sure," on how many occasions had you
- 6 met Mr. Wrolsen, Paula Wrolsen's father, before
- 7 February 14th?
- 8 A. Maybe twice.
- 9 Q. Under what circumstances?
- 10 A. I believe I was there at his house for a complaint
- once when I was on the road, and I don't remember
- 12 the other time.
- 13 Q. The bashing of Jews that you referred to, was that
- 14 by Mr. Wrolsen to Paula Wrolsen, or was Paula
- 15 Wrolsen also engaged in the bashing of Jews as you
- 16 described it?
- 17 A. I think it was Mr. Wrolsen talking, bashing the
- 18 Jews.
- 19 Q. When you listened to the tape, was that early on
- in that approximate half-hour meeting or in the
- 21 middle or towards the end?
- 22 A. In the beginning; he set it up.
- Q. Did you have an understanding, at the time that
- you were listening to the tape recording, of why
- it was that Mr. Lipton wanted you to hear it?

1 GREGORY HULBER	ТS
------------------	----

- 2 A. He thought he had a civil case in court, and he
- 3 wanted to just see his girlfriend or he would use
- 4 that tape.
- 5 Q. Did he explain to you, either in the telephone
- 6 conversation before your meeting or during your
- meeting, why he wanted to speak with you and why
- 8 he wanted you to hear the tape?
- 9 A. I don't remember.
- 10 Q. Did Mr. Lipton explain to you at any time in your
- phone conversation or your meeting that he was
- requesting the aid of the Saugerties Police
- Department in his efforts to communicate with
- 14 Paula Wrolsen?
- 15 A. I believe he wanted to talk to her that day.
- 16 Q. What role, if any, did Mr. Lipton explain he
- 17 believed you or the Saugerties police could have
- in his efforts to communicate with Paula Wrolsen?
- 19 A. To call a state trooper.
- 20 Q. For what reason; did he explain?
- 21 A. He said the state trooper has some information and
- that it would be of some help to me, and that's
- about all I can remember.
- 24 Q. What do you remember as you sit here today
- 25 concerning the substance of your conversation with

- 2 Mr. Lipton after you listened to the tape
- 3 recording?
- 4 A. I told him that I don't believe he's got a civil
- 5 case, because it's a father and daughter talking
- to one another and I didn't think he had a civil
- 7 case, but that was up to him to pursue.
- 8 Q. Did you and he discuss anything other than that
- 9 for the remainder of your meeting?
- 10 A. He just asked me to make sure that Paula got the
- letter that was in the envelope.
- 12 Q. Did Mr. Lipton ask you to have the letter
- 13 delivered to Paula Wrolsen?
- 14 A. I believe so.
- 15 Q. Was anything else discussed?
- 16 A. He just asked me to call that state trooper.
- 17 Q. Did Mr. Lipton, before leaving the police station,
- tell you where he was going to go from there?
- 19 A. He may have said he was going out looking for her,
- I don't remember for sure.
- 21 Q. When Mr. Lipton left the police station, were you
- 22 still in your office?
- 23 A. I believe I walked him out to the dispatch area.
- 24 Q. Did you leave the building with him?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- Q. When you went out to the dispatch area, did you
- 3 have any further conversations with him?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did you see Brett Lipton there?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Did you ever see Brett Lipton before today?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you ever communicate with Brett Lipton before
- 10 today?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. After Mr. Lipton left what, if anything, did you
- do with respect to Mr. Lipton and the meeting you
- 14 had with him?
- 15 A. I went back and turned off the lights in my office
- and I left about five minutes later.
- 17 Q. Did you communicate with anyone at the police
- 18 station concerning the substance of your
- 19 conversation with Mr. Lipton?
- 20 A. I don't believe so.
- 21 Q. Did you make any notes or memoranda or any kind of
- 22 recording regarding the context of your
- 23 conversation with Mr. Lipton?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. How about the fact that you met with Mr. Lipton;

- did you record that anywhere either in writing or
- 3 some other way?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. While you were with Mr. Lipton on the 14th or in
- 6 your phone conversation that preceded your
- meeting, did Mr. Lipton say anything to you
- 8 regarding James Wrolsen?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Did the name James Wrolsen come up at all in the
- conversation that you had with Mr. Lipton on the
- 12 14th?
- 13 A. I don't remember. It may have come up around the
- 14 time of the tape or shortly thereafter, but I
- 15 didn't think anything of it.
- 16 Q. James Wrolsen is deceased; is that correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Was he a police officer for the Town of Saugerties
- 19 Police Department?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. What was his rank?
- 22 A. Patrolman.
- 23 Q. When did he become a patrolman for the Saugerties
- 24 PD?
- 25 A. I don't remember.

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- Q. Was he a patrolman for Saugerties up to the date
- of his death?
- 4 A. No, he wasn't.
- 5 Q. Do you recall the date of his death?
- 6 A. No, I don't.
- 7 Q. Did he leave the Saugerties Police Department of
- 8 his own choice or for some other reason?
- 9 A. His own choice.
- 10 Q. Do you recall how long after February 14th, 1999
- 11 that Jim Wrolsen left the police department?
- 12 A. Could you repeat that?
- 13 Q. Approximately how much time was it from February
- 14 14th, 1999 that Jim Wrolsen left the Saugerties
- 15 Police Department?
- 16 A. I don't remember. I would have to look at his
- 17 resignation.
- 18 Q. Do you recall if it was more or less than a month?
- 19 A. I couldn't tell you.
- 20 Q. Do you know whether or not Jim Wrolsen was a
- 21 member of the Ku Klux Klan?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. You don't recall?
- 24 A. I don't know.
- 25 Q. Did you ever receive any information from anyone

1	GREGORY	HULBERT

- that Jim Wrolsen was a member of the Ku Klux Klan?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. I'm going to show you the Saugerties Defendants'
- 5 Response to Plaintiffs' Demand for Production of
- 6 Documents and direct your attention to a
- 7 handwritten note dated February 20th, 1999 and a
- signature that appears to be James S. Wrolsen on
- 9 the bottom. I'm going to ask you if you have ever
- 10 seen that document before?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What do you recognize it to be?
- 13 A. His resignation from the police department.
- 14 Q. "His" being James Wrolsen?
- 15 A. James Wrolsen's resignation.
- 16 Q. Does the date on that document, February 20th,
- 17 1999, refresh your recollection as to when James
- Wrolsen left the Saugerties Police Department?
- 19 A. It does, yes.
- 20 Q. Do you recall the circumstances surrounding his
- leaving the police department?
- 22 A. He told me that he got hired by New York State
- 23 Environmental Conservation and they wouldn't let
- him hold two badges, so he had to relinquish his
- 25 PD badge.

1	GR	EGO	RV	HIIT.	BERT
1	αx	шчч	'T' T	1101	$\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{t}$

- 2 Q. Had you had any prior knowledge that he was
- interested in going to a different law enforcement
- 4 agency?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. When did you first learn of that?
- 7 A. I don't know, it had been quite awhile.
- 8 Q. After you left the police station and went home,
- did you do anything on February 14th, 1999 with
- 10 respect to the conversations that you had with Ron
- 11 Lipton that day?
- MR. POSNER: Do you understand the
- 13 question?
- 14 THE WITNESS: I think he wants to
- know whether I did anything about the
- 16 conversation.
- 17 A. I didn't write anything down, but I may have made
- a phone call to somebody after I got a call that
- 19 Mr. Lipton wanted to talk to me.
- 20 Q. Did you contact anyone from the Wrolsen family on
- February 14th, 1999 after you spoke with Ron
- 22 Lipton?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Do you know if anyone from the Saugerties Police
- station contacted anyone from the Wrolsen family

1	CR	EGC	PV	HIIT	BERT
	σu	1100	, T/ T	110 -	

- 2 after your meeting with Ron Lipton?
- 3 A. No, not that I know of.
- 4 Q. Did you inform anyone in the Saugerties Police
- 5 Department about the substance of your
- 6 conversation with Ron Lipton on February 14th?
- 7 A. Only that I may have had a conversation with him
- 8 and that I may have told him to stay away from
- 9 there until I got a chance to talk to the state
- 10 trooper that Monday, but that's about all I
- 11 remember of it.
- 12 Q. When you say "I may have told him to stay away
- from there," who are you referring to?
- 14 A. Mr. Lipton.
- 15 Q. That would be during that meeting that you had
- with him in your office?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you know whether or not you told him that?
- 19 A. It seems to me like I did, but it's vague.
- 20 Q. Do you have any recollection as to why you would
- 21 have told him that?
- 22 A. Because of the anti-Semitic remarks on the tape.
- Q. What was it about the anti-Semitic remarks on the
- tape that caused to you advise Mr. Lipton that he
- 25 ought not go to the Wrolsen residence?

2 A. From Mr. Wrolsen's comments that he doesn't want

27

- 3 him anywhere around him or his daughter.
- 4 Q. Did there come a time after you got home that you
- 5 received a telephone call concerning Mr. Lipton?
- 6 A. Yes.

1

- 7 Q. From whom?
- 8 A. I believe it was Sergeant Kohler.
- 9 Q. About what time was that?
- 10 A. I don't remember.
- 11 Q. Was it within an hour of you leaving your meeting
- with Mr. Lipton?
- 13 A. It could be two hours, I don't remember.
- 14 Q. What did Sergeant Kohler say to you?
- 15 A. He said that Mr. Lipton was arrested for trespass
- at the Wrolsens; he was up at Wrolsens. And I
- guess he was already in custody or had been talked
- to and he wanted to talk to me again. And I said,
- I didn't want to talk to him; "I told him to stay
- 20 away from there."
- 21 Q. So when Sergeant Kohler called you, you were at
- your house?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And it was your understanding when Sergeant Kohler
- called you, based upon what Sergeant Kohler said,

VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE 845-331-4020

1 GREGORY HULBE	RT
-----------------	----

- 2 that Mr. Lipton was already in custody?
- 3 A. It seemed to me like that, yes.
- 4 Q. Did Sergeant Kohler tell you what Mr. Lipton was
- 5 in custody for?
- 6 A. Something to do with the trespass and a sawed-off
- 7 shotgun.
- 8 Q. Between the meeting with Mr. Lipton and the call
- 9 from Sergeant Kohler, did you have any
- 10 communication with Mr. Lipton?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did you have any communication with any human
- being concerning Mr. Lipton during that time
- 14 period?
- 15 A. No. I do remember having a conversation, but I
- don't remember with who, as to what he was being
- 17 charged with and how they determined whether it
- 18 was a trespass or not. It may have been a
- 19 dispatcher. I was concerned that it may not have
- been a trespass, depending on whether he was told
- to stay away from the place or not earlier on by
- the Wrolsens.
- 23 Q. This conversation that you're referring to took
- 24 place before the conversation with Sergeant
- 25 Kohler; correct?

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Was that conversation you're referring to over the
- 4 telephone?
- 5 A. Yes, it was over the telephone.
- 6 Q. Where were you at the time?
- 7 A. Home.
- 8 Q. And you don't recall who it was that spoke with
- 9 you?
- 10 A. No, I don't.
- 11 Q. Do you know whether or not it was someone from the
- 13 A. It was somebody from the Saugerties Police
- 14 Department.
- 15 Q. Okay. What information were you provided with
- about the conduct alleged to have been a trespass?
- 17 A. That Mr. Lipton went up there, went into the
- 18 driveway, turned around and left.
- 19 Q. Were you told how long Mr. Lipton was in the
- 20 driveway?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Were you told how far he went into the driveway?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. And you said that you had a concern as to whether
- 25 that conduct constituted a trespass?

- 2 A. That is correct.
- Q. Did you make a determination, while you were on
- 4 the phone during that pre-Sergeant Kohler
- 5 conversation, as to whether or not it was in fact
- 6 a trespass?
- 7 A. I just know that I didn't make a determination.
- 8 Q. Did you provide any advice to the person on the
- 9 telephone with respect to whether or not the
- 10 conduct of Mr. Lipton as it was described to you
- 11 constituted a trespass or not?
- 12 A. I believe I told them to investigate it and get a
- 13 statement from the Wrolsens.
- 14 Q. Did you mention the fact that Mr. Lipton had been
- in your office sometime earlier that day?
- 16 A. I may have.
- 17 Q. Did you make any mention as to substance of the
- 18 conversations that you had?
- 19 A. Not with that individual.
- 20 Q. Did you have any conversations with any individual
- on February 14th, 1999 concerning the substance of
- your conversations with Mr. Lipton?
- 23 A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Did you inform anyone from the police department,
- after you learned that there was a trespass

31 GREGORY HULBERT 1 complaint made against Mr. Lipton by the Wrolsens, 2 3 about the anti-Semitic statements that you heard from whom you believe to be Mr. Wrolsen? MR. POSNER: Can repeat the first 5 part of that question. 6 7 8 (Question read) 10 MR. POSNER: Objection as to form. 11 MR. ISSEKS: I will withdraw the 12 question. After you heard that there was a trespass 13 Q. 14 complaint made against the Liptons by someone in 15 the Wrolsen family, did you inform anyone in the 16 police department that you had heard a tape recording which contained statements by a person 17 18 who you believed to be Paula Wrolsen's father or a 19 member of the Wrolsen family, at any rate --No. 20 Α. 21 Q. -- that were anti-Semitic in nature? 22 MR. POSNER: I'm going to object as 23 to form. 24 Q. You can answer. 25 A. No.

- 2 Q. At the end of your conversation with Sergeant
- 3 Kohler, did you have an understanding as to what
- 4 action, if any, the Saugerties Police Department
- 5 was going to take with respect to the trespass
- 6 complaint against Mr. Lipton?
- 7 A. I believe Sergeant Kohler said that they were
- 8 going to arraign -- that Woodstock was going to
- 9 arraign him on the gun charges, and I believe I
- suggested writing an appearance ticket for the
- 11 trespass.
- 12 Q. Did you understand, when you made that suggestion,
- that on a trespass charge, a violation is not a
- 14 crime?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Did you make any inquiry up to that point or at
- any time prior to that point as to what police
- 18 agency arrested Mr. Lipton on the trespass?
- 19 A. Woodstock, I believe.
- Q. Did you ask how it came about that officers of the
- 21 Woodstock Police Department came to arrest Mr.
- 22 Lipton on the trespass charge?
- 23 A. No, I didn't inquire about anything.
- Q. Who was it that informed you that this was an
- 25 arrest on the trespass charge?

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 A. I believe Sergeant Kohler.
- 3 Q. When Sergeant Kohler informed you of that, did he
- 4 also tell you that not just Ron Lipton, but Brett
- 5 Lipton had been arrested on that charge?
- 6 A. I believe so.
- 7 Q. Did you at any time after learning about the
- 8 trespass arrest make inquiry as to why it was that
- 9 a Town of Woodstock police officer would arrest
- the Liptons for a violation that occurred in the
- 11 Town of Saugerties?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. As you sit here today, do you know why a Town of
- 14 Woodstock police officer made a Town of Saugerties
- 15 arrest on a violation?
- 16 A. I was under the assumption that they were arrested
- for the shotgun charge, not the trespass
- 18 complaint.
- 19 Q. Did there come a time when you learned that
- someone from the Town of Saugerties Police
- 21 Department radioed a transmission requesting the
- Town of Woodstock Police Department to arrest the
- 23 Liptons on the trespass charge?
- MR. POSNER: Objection as to form.
- 25 Q. You can answer.

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 A. I do today, but not then.
- 3 Q. You didn't know then. When is the first time that
- 4 you learned that the Saugerties Police Department
- 5 asked the Woodstock Police Department to arrest
- 6 the Liptons on the trespass charge?
- 7 MR. POSNER: Objection as to form.
- 8 A. I don't know; days after that, I believe.
- 9 Q. Days after that?
- 10 A. Yes, I believe so.
- 11 Q. How did you learn of it?
- 12 A. Through a conversation maybe. I don't know.
- 13 Q. Do you know with whom you had that conversation?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. By the way, was there a dispatcher working in the
- 16 afternoon of February 14th, 1999 at the Town of
- 17 Saugerties Police Department?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What was that dispatcher's name?
- 20 A. Anthony Cardarelli.
- 21 Q. Did you have any conversations with Anthony
- 22 Cardarelli concerning any transmissions to
- Woodstock concerning the arrest of the Liptons?
- 24 A. I don't remember.
- Q. On February 14th, 1999, had you received any

- 2 information concerning the Liptons from any police
- agency wherein the Liptons were described or
- 4 labeled as armed and dangerous?
- 5 A. Prior to the 14th?
- 6 Q. On the 14th.
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Did you ever receive any information prior to, on,
- or after February 14th to the effect that the
- 10 Liptons on February 14th might have been armed and
- 11 dangerous?
- MR. POSNER: Objection as to form.
- 13 A. Only through that memo there and conversations
- 14 after the 14th.
- 15 Q. Did you have an opportunity to listen to a tape
- 16 recording of the radio transmission between
- 17 Saugerties and Woodstock concerning the arrest of
- 18 the Liptons?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Was that Anthony Cardarelli's voice on that tape
- 21 recording?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Did you ever make any inquiry of Anthony
- 24 Cardarelli as to why he stated in his radio
- transmission to Woodstock that the Liptons may be

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 armed and dangerous?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. You never asked him what the source of his
- 5 information was?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Did you ever ascertain from anybody what the
- 8 source of such information might have been?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Do you know what the source of that description
- 11 was?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Did you ever ask Anthony Cardarelli why he asked
- the Woodstock Police, in the radio transmission,
- to arrest the Liptons for the trespass?
- MR. POSNER: Objection as to form.
- 17 A. I don't know as he did; I don't know who told the
- 18 Woodstock Police Department.
- 19 Q. You listened to the tape recording of the radio
- 20 transmission; correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall, in that transmission, any requests
- being made by Cardarelli to secure and then arrest
- 24 the Liptons?
- MR. POSNER: Objection as to form.

37 GREGORY HULBERT 1 It may have been secure or keep or hold, but not 2 Α. arrest. Did you ever have any conversations with Q. Cardarelli wherein you inquired as to why he made 5 6 such a request or requests? 7 Α. No. MR. ISSEKS: I would ask for the 8 production of all tapes of all transmissions to 9 the Woodstock Police Department on February 14th, 10 1999 concerning Ronald and/or Brett Lipton. 11 MR. D'AMELIA: I believe they have 12 been disclosed already. 13 14 MR. ISSEKS: If there are any others 15 that haven't been disclosed. 16 MR. D'AMELIA: Sure. MR. ISSEKS: We got disclosures from 17 Mr. Posner's office. I presume we got them from 18 you folks although I don't know, but if you can 19 make sure that we have all of the tapes, I would 20 appreciate it. 21 22 MR. D'AMELIA: Sure. I would ask that you put that in writing. 23 24 25 **COUNSEL REQUESTS INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED**

GREGORY HULBERT 1 2 Did you have any communications with anyone from Q. 3 the Wrolsen family concerning either Ronald or 5 Brett Lipton? When? 6 Α. After they were arrested on February 14th, at any 7 Q. time after that day or at any time thereafter? I don't believe so. I don't remember whether I 9 Α. did or not. 10 11 MR. ISSEKS: Let's take a short break. 12 13 (Break in the proceeding) 14 15 Q. Chief, do you know where Wrolsen Drive is? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Had you ever been to the Wrolsen residence on Wrolsen Drive? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. How far is the Wrolsen residence from the Saugerties Police station? 21 22 Α. Estimated, five miles. 23 Q. Did you ever learn as to whether the trespass complaint was signed? 24 25 Later I found out that it was signed at the

VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE 845-331-4020

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 office.
- 3 Q. At the Saugerties Police station?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Were any officers dispatched to the Wrolsen
- 6 residence on February 14th?
- 7 A. I believe so.
- 8 Q. Do you know who dispatched them; would that be
- 9 Cardarelli?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. To your understanding, was that based upon
- information that Cardarelli received from the
- 13 Wrolsens?
- 14 A. I would assume so.
- 15 Q. Do you know if anyone contacted the Wrolsens
- 16 before the officers were dispatched to go to the
- 17 Wrolsen residence; anyone from the Saugerties
- 18 Police Department?
- 19 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 20 Q. Which officers were dispatched to the Wrolsen
- 21 residence?
- 22 A. I'm not sure; I think it was Officer Ryan or
- 23 Sergeant Kohler.
- Q. Who made the determination that a complaint should
- 25 be signed against the Liptons?

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 A. I believe Officer Ryan investigated it.
- 3 Q. Was a request made that Wrolsen come to the police
- 4 station to sign the complaint?
- 5 A. I don't know that.
- 6 Q. Do you know if there are any documents that would
- 7 reflect when the complaint against the Liptons for
- 8 trespass was signed?
- 9 A. Unless it's on the information, no.
- 10 Q. Would the officer who was dispatched to the
- Wrolsens be required to keep a log as to his
- 12 activities on that day?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. He would not?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. So there wouldn't necessarily be a document
- 17 reflecting when that officer arrived at the
- 18 Wrolsens?
- 19 A. It may be on the dispatch sheet maybe that we
- 20 arrived, I don't know.
- 21 Q. I'm going the show you several sheets entitled
- 22 "Town of Saugerties Police Department Radio and
- 23 Telephone Daily Log" that was included in the
- 24 Saugerties Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs'
- 25 Demand for Production and ask you if these are the

1	GREGOR	RY H	ULB	ERT
---	--------	------	-----	-----

- documents that you're referring to?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Is there anything in those radio logs that
- 5 reflects when the officers from Saugerties were
- 6 dispatched to the Wrolsen residence?
- 7 A. I believe the bottom line where it says "Time
- 8 received 17:09."
- 9 Q. That would be nine minutes after 5:00?
- 10 A. Yes, and then complainant name was Wrolsen, John,
- the complaint number is 990715, unit number 787,

- 1 GREGORY HULBERT
- 2 arrested?
- 3 A. Not that I know of.
- 4 Q. Going back to your meeting with Ron Lipton on the
- 5 14th, did Ron show you any police certificates of
- 6 his showing that he was a police officer?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Did anyone on February 14th inform you that Ron
- 9 Lipton was not a former police officer?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did you at any time ever speak to Jim Wrolsen, as
- Jim Wrolsen's chief, about the anti-Semitic
- remarks that you heard on the tape purported to be
- 14 between Paula Wrolsen and Jim Wrolsen's father?
- 15 A. Not that I remember, no.
- 16 Q. Chief, at any time after February 14th, 1999, did
- 17 anyone ever tell you that Ron Lipton was not a
- 18 former police officer?
- 19 A. No, they said he wasn't retired from any place
- that they know of.
- 21 Q. That he was not retired?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Who told you that?
- 24 A. I don't remember.
- Q. Was it an officer in some police agency?

- 2 A. Probably.
- 3 Q. But you have no recollection of who it was?
- 4 A. I think it was Officer Hansen.
- 5 Q. When was that?
- 6 A. I don't know. It was a couple days later or the
- next day, I don't remember. It may have been the
- 8 next day when I called her.
- 9 Q. What was it that motivated you to call Officer
- 10 Hansen?
- 11 A. She left a message for me to call her.
- 12 Q. Did the message indicate why you should call her?
- 13 A. In regards to the Lipton case.
- 14 Q. When did you receive that message?
- 15 A. The next day.
- 16 Q. That would be the 15th of February?
- 17 A. Yes, or it may have been two days later. I don't
- 18 remember.
- 19 Q. What was said between you and Officer Hansen
- during that phone conversation on the 15th?
- 21 A. She explained to me about Mr. Lipton as being a
- target in an investigation down there and that
- they had had some dealings -- I can't remember
- 24 exactly -- it was between Paula and Mr. Lipton --
- about maybe an arrest pending, or there was an

44 1 arrest, or he made -- basically that the guy may 2 be a dangerous man and to watch him. 3 MR. ISSEKS: I have no further questions. Thank you. 5 6 (The Examination Before Trial of 7 GREGORY HULBERT concluded at 2:08 p.m.) 8 STATE OF NEW YORK 9 COUNTY OF ____ 10 11 12 I have read the foregoing record of my 13 testimony taken at the time and place noted in the heading hereof, and I do hereby acknowledge it to 14 be a true and correct transcript of same. 15 16 17 18 19 GREG HULBERT 20 Sworn to before me this 21 _____day of _____, 2002. 22 23 24 NOTARY PUBLIC

CERTIFICATION I, KIMBERLY BURKE, a Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that I recorded stenographically the proceedings herein at the time and place noted in the heading hereof, and that the foregoing is an accurate and complete transcript of same, to the best of my knowledge and belief. KIMBERLY BURKE Dated: November 4th, 2002

			46
1			
2	INDEX TO EXHIBITS		
3			
4	Description	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>
5	Plaintiffs' 2 Defendants' Response	10	12
6			
7			
8	I N D E X T O R E Q U E S T S		
9			
10	Description	<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>
11	Production of all tapes of all transmissions to the Woodstock Police Department on Februar	v	
12	14th, 1999 concerning Ronald and/or Brett Lipton	37	25
13			•
14			
15			
16	* * * * *		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

GREGORY	HULBE	RT		Condense	It TM			-against	- dated
-against [1]	1:5	4th [1] 45:20		33:2 33:9	33:10		45:3	46:13	
00 [3] 1:5	13:6	5 [1] 41:10		33:16 33:23	34:6	45:3		concluded [1]	44:9
41:10		509 [1] 2:11		34:24 35:18	36:16		3:7	conduct [3]	29:17
08[1] 44:9)	6[1] 2:4		36:24 37:4 44:3	44:2	3:22		30:2 30:11	ĺ
09 [2] 41:9	41:13	63 [1] 2:10			27:16		34:21	confidential [1]	16:11
1 [1] 1:14		6th [1] 11:24		arrested [6] 32:19 33:6	33:17		36:14	Conservation [11
10[2] 1:14				38:8 42:3	33.17	36:24 37:6 39:13	39:10	24:24	•
108[1] 11:1				arrive [1]	5:11		25.01	constituted [2]	30:2
		845 [1] 1:24		arrived [3]	40:18	Cardarelli's [1]		30:12	
10940 _[2]	2:5	85 [1] 41:17		40:21 41:17	40:10		19:3	contact [1]	25:21
	7	990715 [1]	41:12	ascertain [1]	36:8		43:14	contacted [2]	26:2
		able [1] 14:15				caused [1]	26:25	39:16	
115 [2] 1:15		above [1]	11:14	assume [1]	39:15		42:6	contained [1]	31:18
12 [1] 46:6		accompanied [2]	assumption [1]		certify [1]	45:8	contents [2]	15:21
12212 [1]	2:17	10:22 10:25	· • .	attachment [1]	11:24	chance [1]	26:10	17:17	
12401 [1]	1:24	accurate [1]	45:11	attention [2]	6:5	charge [7]	32:14	context [1]	21:23
12602 [1]	2:11	acknowledge	1]	24:7		32:23 33:2	33:6	continuous [1]	12:17
12th [3] 6:6	6:11	44:15	-	Attorneys [2]	2:9	33:18 33:24	34:7	controlled [1]	3:23
6:24		acquaintance	[1]	2:14		charged [1]	28:18	conversation [7	
14th [47] 4:10	5 4:22	18:4		Avenue [2] 2:16	2:7	charges [1]	32:10	12:12 12:17	12:21
4:25 5:4		action [2]	3:14	l '	7.01	chief [6] 1:7	4:9	12:24 13:2	14:16
5:19 5:2: 6:24 6:2:		32:5		aware [3] 8:2 11:25	7:21	4:10 38:16	42:13	14:21 17:3	17:15
6:24 6:2: 7:7 7:24		activities [1]	40:13	1	26.0	42:17		19:7 19:12	20:2
8:7 8:1		actual [1]	41:23	away [5] 17:11 26:13 27:21	26:9 28:22	choice [2]	23:9	21:20 21:24	22:7
9:9 11:		addition [1]	3:11	awhile [2]		23:10		22:12 25:17	26:7
12:6 12:		addressed [1]	5:9	25:8	16:17	circumstances	[2]	26:8 28:16 28:25 29:4	28:24 30:6
18:2 18:		advice [1]	30:9	B _[1] 46:3		18:10 24:21		32:3 34:13	34:14
22:13 23:		advise [1]	26:25		25.2	City [1] 14:13		43:21	J
25:10 25: 30:22 34:		afternoon [3]	13:7	badge [1]	25:2	Civ [1] 1:5		conversations	191
35:6 35:		13:23 34:17	13.7	badges [1]	24:25	civil [3] 19:3	20:5	12:13 21:4	25:11
35:11 35:		afterward [2]	6:22	bar [1] 3:13		20:7		30:19 30:21	30:23
38:8 39:		6:23	0.22	based [2]	28:2	clear[1] 11:6		34:22 35:14	37:5
42:9 42:	17 46:13	again [2] 9:14	27:19	39:12		clearly [1]	10:2	conversed [1]	12:15
15085 [1]	2:16	against [5]	31:3	bashing [4]	17:10	Co-Counsel [2]	2:4	copy [2] 6:8	7:6
15th [3] 1:1:	3 43:17	31:15 32:7	40:2	18:14 18:16	18:18	2:6		correct [9]	12:3
43:21		40:8	10.2	beard [1] 11:12		coming [1]	9:8	12:5 16:21	22:17
17 [3] 41:	9 41:13	agency [7]	8:10	become [1]	22:24	commencing [1]]1:14	22:18 29:2	30:3
41:17		8:16 8:21	25:5	beginning [1]	18:23	comments [1]	27:3	36:21 44:16	
[170 _[1] 11:	11	32:19 35:4	43:2	begun [1]	3:19	communicate [41	counsel [6]	2:13
1999 [27]	4:16	agree [1] 14:18		behind [1]	9:12	19:14 19:19	21:10	2:18 3:6	3:21
4:22 4:2	5 5:22	agreed [4]	3:5	belief [1]	45:12	21:18		6:4 38:2	
6:6 6:1		3:15 3:24	15:3	best[1] 45:12		communicated	[1]	COUNTY [1]	44:11
7:24 8:5		aid [1] 19:13		between [7]	3:6	9:7		couple [1]	43:7
11:21 11: 12:7 18:		Albany [1]	2:17	17:7 28:9	35:17	communication	n (s)	court [3] 1:2	19:3
23:15 24:		ALEX[1]	2:6	42:15 43:20	43:25	4:24 7:23	11:18	45:6	
25:10 25:		alleged [1]	29:17	bit [1] 12:15		28:11 28:13		Cover [2]	6:7
34:17 35:		answer [2]	31:25	bottom [3]	11:6	communication		7:10	
42:17 46:	13	34:2	31:23	24:10 41:8		7:19 8:4	38:4	crime [1]	32:15
2 [5] 10:	8 10:13	Anthony [5]	34:21	Box [2] 2:11	2:16	complainant [1]		custody [3]	27:18
13:6 44:	9 46:6	34:22 35:21	35:24	boyfriend [1]	11:9	complaint [12]		28:3 28:6	
2/6/99 [2]	10:21	36:14	33.24	break [2] 38:12	38:14	31:3 31:15	32:7	D[3] 2:18	46:3
11:5		anti-Semitic [61 17·18	Brett [17]		33:19 38:25	39:25	46:9	
2002 [3] 1:1	4 44:23	26:23 26:24	31:4	2:21 4:17	1:3 4:22	40:5 40:8 41:14 41:23	41:12	D'AMELIA	
45:20		31:22 42:13		7:19 7:24	8:12		45.11	37:13 37:17	37:23
20th [2] 24:	8 24:17	appearance [1]	32:11	8:15 9:8	11:20	complete [1]	45:11	D'Amelia's [1]	_
23rd[1] 7:1		appreciate [1]	37:22	21:6 21:8	21:10	complying [1]	7:8	daily [2] 10:23	40:24
25 [1] 46:		approximate [33:5 37:12	38:6	computer [1]	10:24	dangerous [4]	35:5
302[1] 2:1		16:20 18:21	3) 12:2U	46:13		concern [1]	29:25	35:12 36:3	44:4
3116[1] 3:2		area [2] 20:24	21:3	Brewster [3]	1:6	concerned [4]	11:8	data [2] 10:23	11:4
3117 [1] 3:2		armed [3]		2:9 2:22		17:16 17:18	28:20	date [11] 5:15	5:19
		35:11 36:3	35:5	brown [1]	11:12	concerning [14]		6:11 6:15	7:12
319[1] 1:5		35.11 30.3 arraign [2]	22.0	building [1]	20:25	14:14 20:2	21:19	7:14 8:8	11:4
331-4020		32:10	32:9	Burke [4]	1:16	27:6 28:14	30:22	23:3 23:6	24:17
37 [1] 46:		arrest [14]	32:22	2:14 45:6	45:17	34:23 34:24 35:18 37:12	35:3 38:5	dated [4] 6:6	11:24
41 [1] 2:7	•	arrest[14]	34.44			35:18 37:12	38:5	24:8 45:20	
TATTEST.	DEPORT	TAIC GEDAGO	TD 0.45	450 1000		_ 		T., J.	

GREGORY H	IULBE	RT		Co	ndense	It™				daught	ter - Ku
daughter [2]	20:6	10:15 10:18	10:22	explain	ed[1]	43:22	1:23		inform	4]	26:5
27:4		24:11 24:17	40:17	explana	tory [1]	9:24	Greg [3] 1:7	2:15	30:25	31:16	42:9
DAVID _[1]	2:12	documents [7]	7:18	Extens	i on [1]	2:16	44:20		informa		
days [4] 34:9	34:10	9:16 11:17 24:7 40:7	11:23 41:3	F[1]	45:3		GREGORY [3]	1:13	8:9	8:15	8:20
43:7 43:18		DOE [1] 1:8	71.3	Facsim	ile [2]	6:7	4:3 44:9		8:23 9:6	8:25 9:12	9:4 9:12
dealings [1]	43:24	doesn't [1]	27:3	7:10			guess [2] 17:10	27:18	10:25	11:14	19:22
death [2] 23:4	23:6	Dolson [1]	2:7	fact [3]	22:2	30:6	gun [1] 32:10		24:2	29:16	35:3
deceased [1]	22:17	down [2] 25:18	43:23	30:15			guy [1] 44:3		35:9 38:2	36:6	36:9
16:10	16:7	Drive [2]	38:16	failure 3:19	[2]	3:11	H[1] 46:3		41:24	39:13	40:10
deemed [1]	3:21	38:19	30.10	family	7.61	14:21	hair[1] 11:12		informe	dra	13:16
Defendant [1]	1:13	driveway [3]	29:19	25:21	26:2	31:16	half [2] 12:15	12:18	32:25	33:4	
Defendants [3]		29:21 29:23		31:20	38:5		half-hour [2] 18:21	12:20	inquire	[1]	32:24
2:9 2:14		duly[1] 4:4		far [4]	10:3	10:4	handwritten [1]	24.8	inquire		37:6
defendants' [8]		during [7]	12:12	29:23	38:21		Hansen [3]	43:5	inquiry		32:17
7:4 7:17	9:15	12:20 19:7 28:14 30:5	26:16 43:21	father [17:23	7] 17:25	17:11 18:7	43:11 43:20	13.3	33:9	35:24	
10:13 24:5 46:6	40:25	E [10] 2:2	2:2	20:6	31:19	42:15	head [1] 13:6		inside [2	3	13:9
delivered [1]	20:14	2:20 2:20	45:3	fax [10]	5:3	5:8	heading [2]	44:15	13:11 intact [1		10.0
Demand [6]	6:2	46:3 46:3	46:9	5:8	5:15	5:22	45:10		integrit		10:8
7:4 9:15	10:14	46:9 46:9		6:8	6:8	6:15	hear [2] 19:2	19:9	interest		10:8
24:6 41:2		early[1] 18:20		6:17	7:6	7.16	heard [5] 12:4	31:4	interest		25:4 11:15
department [34]		Edwin [3] 2:9 2:22	1:6	faxes [2] Februar		7:16 4:16	31:14 31:17	42:14	investig		30:13
4:9 5:11 7:21 8:3	6:16 8:14	effect [1]	25.10	4:22	4:25	4:16 5:4	held [1] 1:13		investig		
11:19 19:14	22:20	efforts [2]	35:10 19:14	5:6	5:19	5:21	help [1] 19:23 hereby [4]	2.5	investig		
23:8 23:12	23:16	19:19	19:14	6:6	6:11	6:24	4:2 44:15	3:5 45:8	43:23	,auon [ı)
24:14 24:19	24:22	either [6]	7:19	6:24 7:7	6:25 7:24	7:2 8:4	herein [1]	45:9	ISSEKS	1111	2:3
26:6 29:13 30:25 31:17	29:15 32:5	7:23 9:8	19:6	8:7	11:21	11:24	hereof [2]	44:15	4:7	9:23	10:3
32:22 33:22	33:23	22:3 38:5		11:25	12:6	12:14	45:10		10:6	31:12	37:9
34:5 34:6	34:18	employed [1]	4:8	13:3	18:2	18:8	hereto [1]	3:7	37:15 44:5	37:18	38:12
36:19 37:11 40:23 42:2	39:19	end [2] 18:22	32:3	23:11 24:17	23:14 25:10	24:8 25:22	hired [1] 24:23		issue [1]	14-14	
depending [1]	46:12 28:21	ends[1] 11:14		26:7	30:22	34:17	hold [2] 24:25	37:3	James [7		22:9
described [3]	28:21 18:17	enforcement [1	-	35:2	35:10	35:11	home [3] 25:9	27:5	22:11	22:17	24:9
30:11 35:4	10:17	engaged [1]	18:16	37:11	38:8	39:7	29:8		24:15	24:16	24:18
description [3]	36:11	entitled [2] 40:22	6:7	42:9 46:12	42:17	43:17	hour[4] 12:16	12:18	Jews [4]		18:14
46:5 46:11		entry [2] 10:23	11:4	felt[1]	16:11		16:20 27:12		18:16	18:19	
determination		envelope [5]	15:15	female		16:24	hours [1] 27:14 house [4]	14.0	Jim [8] 23:15	11:11 23:21	23:12 24:3
30:4 30:8	39:25	15:16 15:19	15:13	filing [1		3:25	14:4 18:11	14:3 27:23	42:12	42:13	42:15
determined [1]		20:12		firm [1]			housekeeping		John [5]	1:6	1:7
different [1]	25:4	Environmenta	1 [1]	first [8]		6:17	10:11	-,	2:9	2:23	41:11
direct [2] 24:7	6:5	24:24		11:7	13:9	14:6	Hulbert [7]	1:7		2:18	
directed [1]	6:2	escorted [4]	13:12	25:7	31:6	34:4	1:13 2:15	4:3	July [1]		
disclosed [2]	0:2 37:14	13:17 15:6 ESQ [4] 2:3	15:10	five [2]		38:23	4:8 44:9	44:20	keep [3]	10:5	37:3
37:16	37:14	2:12 2:18	2:6	folks [1]			human [1]	28:13	40:12		
1	37:18	Estimated [1]	38:23	follows	[2]	4:5	idea[1] 10:11 identification[Kevin [3 2:9] 2:22	1:6
discuss [1]	20:9	ex-girlfriend		foregoi	na m	44.12	10:7 10:16	2]	Kimber		1:16
discussed [1]	20:16	11:16	•	45:10	ng [2]	44:13	identified [5]	6:21	45:6	45:17	1.10
discussing [1]	6:9	exactly [3]	13:7	form [8]	3:9	31:11	7:17 9:25	10:19	kind [1]		
dispatch [5]	20:24	14:5 43:25		31:24	33:25	34:8	11:23		Kingsto		1:15
21:3 40:20	41:13	examination [9		35:13	36:17	37:2	identify [2]	7:5	1:24		
41:14		3:10 3:12 3:19 3:20	3:16 3:25	former	[2]	42:10	9:17		Klan [2]	23:22	24:3
dispatched [6] 39:9 39:17	39:6 39:21	4:7 44:8	J.20	42:19		<i>-</i> -	identifying [1]	9:20	Klux [2]	23:22	24:3
40:11 41:7	J7.41	examine [1]	15:21	found [3 5:8	39:2	5:7	important [1]	14:14	knowle		11:22
dispatcher [2]	28:20	examined [2]	3:17	GERAI		2:18	included [1]	40:24	12:23 25:3	12:25 39:20	13:4
34:16		4:5		girl [1]		a U	including [1]	3:8	Kohler [45:12 27:9
dispatcher's [1]		except[1]	3:9	girlfrie		15:25	indicate [1]	43:13	27:15	27:22	27:9 27:25
DISTRICT [2]	1:2	Exhibit [2]	10:8	16:5	19:4	-0.20	indicating [1] individual [2]	9:13	28:2	28:5	28:10
1:2		10:13	146	gist [1]	14:16		30:21	30:20	29:2	30:5	32:4
	6:6	expecting [1]	14:8	good [2]		10:11	individually [1]	0.21	32:8 39:24	33:3	33:4
6:12 6:13 7:9 9:17	7:5 9:18	explain [4] 19:11 19:17	19:6 19:21	Green [1:15	info[1] 10:20	J.2.1		23:22	24:3
1 ''' 3.11	7.10	15:11 15:1/	17:41				10.20		424 [4]	4J.44	4T.J

GREGORY	HULBE	RT		Condense	eIt™			1	_ read
L _[3] 2:12	2:20	listened [7]	16:14	motivated [1]	43:10	one [6] 6:21	6:22	9:11 10:20	11:19
3:3		16:16 16:17	16:22	move [2] 3:9	3:12	10:25 12:17	16:14	12:9 13:17	14:12
labeled [1]	35:5	18:20 20:3	36:20	Ms[1] 11:15		20:7		19:13 19:18	20:18
Lane [3] 1:6	2:9	listening [1]	18:25	mustache [1]	11:12	one-half [1]	16:20	20:22 21:18 22:20 23:8	22:19 23:12
2:22		LLP [1] 2:8		N [7] 2:2	2:3	opportunity [1]	35:16	23:16 24:14	24:19
lasted [1]	12:18	log [3] 10:23	40:12	2:20 3:3	45:3	oral [1] 7:23		24:22 25:9	25:25
law [1] 25:4		40:24		46:3 46:9		original [2]	3:20	26:5 29:13	29:14
learn [4] 8:25	25:7	logs [1] 41:5		name [4] 17:14	22:11	3:25		30:25 31:17	32:5
34:12 38:24		look [6] 7:3	15:18	34:20 41:11		ought [1]	27:2	32:18 32:22 33:15 33:21	33:10
learned [4]	9:6	16:2 16:4 23:17	16:7	narrative [1]	11:7	overhear [1]	12:23	34:5 34:6	33:23 34:18
31:2 33:20		looked[1]	15:19	nature [1]	31:22	own [2] 23:9	23:10	35:3 36:15	36:19
learning [1]	33:8	looking [1]	20:20	necessarily [1]	40:17	P[4] 2:2	2:2	37:11 38:22	39:4
leave [3] 15:9	20:25	MACK [1]		never [2] 12:4	36:5	2:20 3:3		39:19 40:4	40:23
23:8	00.10	,	2:8	New [12] 1:2	1:15	p.m[2] 1:14	44:9	41:25 42:6 42:10 42:19	42:7 43:2
leaving [3] 24:22 27:13	20:18	magic [3] 9:17 10:18	9:11	1:17 1:24	2:5	P.O [2] 2:11	2:16	46:12	43.2
left [10] 11:9		male [2] 16:24	17:21	2:7 2:11 14:13 24:23	2:17 44:10	page [6] 6:7	11:2	portion [1]	11:13
21:13 21:1		man [1] 44:4	17.21	45:7	44:10	11:7 11:13 46:11	46:5	POSNER [14]	2:12
23:15 24:19		marked (3)	0.22	next [3] 43:8	43:9	1	11.5	9:20 9:25	10:4
29:19 43:1:	2	10:7 10:15	9:22	43:16		pages [1]	11:3	10:10 25:13	31:6
LEK [1] 1:5		marker [3]	9:11	nine[1] 41:10		paper [2] 9:10	9:21	31:11 31:23	33:25
less [1] 23:19	9	9:17 10:19	7.11	North [1]	2:4	part [3] 7:17 31:7	13:2	34:8 35:13 37:2	36:17
letter [5] 15:2		may [20] 3:16	14:25	NORTHERN		part-time [1]	11:11	Posner's [1]	37:19
16:8 20:1:	2 20:13	14:25 16:11	17:14	1:2		participant [1]	12:21	Poughkeepsie	
lights [1]	21:16	20:20 22:14	25:18	Notary [6]	1:16	parties [2]	3:6	2:11	[1]
line [3] 41:8	46:5	26:8 26:9 28:19 28:20	26:13	3:17 3:18	4:4	17:7	3:0	pounds [1]	11:11
46:11		28:19 28:20 36:2 37:3	30:17 40:20	44:25 45:7		patrolman [3]	22:23	pre-Sergeant [1	
Lipton [92]	1:3	43:8 43:18	44:3	note [1] 24:8		22:24 23:3	##.#J	prearranged [2]	
1:3 2:21 4:12 4:17	2:21 4:22	McCABE [1]	2:8	noted [2] 44:14	45:9	patrols [1]	10:20	13:24	13:22
4:24 5:3	7:20	mean [1] 41:20		notes [1] 21:22		Paula [14]	17:2	preceded m	22:7
7:24 8:8	8:12	meet [8] 4:15	4:17	November [1]	45:20	17:8 17:22	17:25	presence [1]	13:16
8:15 8:17	9:2	12:6 13:20	14:13	now [3] 8:18	11:23	18:7 18:15	18:15	present [1]	12:11
9:8 11:9		14:15 14:18	15:3	17:15		19:15 19:19	20:11	presume [1]	37:19
11:20 11:2 12:6 12:1		meeting [15]	8:16	number [2]	41:12	20:14 31:19 43:25	42:15	proceeding [1]	38:14
13:3 13:5		12:20 13:21	18:21	41:12		PD [2] 22:25	25:2	proceedings [1]	
13:21 13:2		19:7 19:8 20:10 21:14	19:12 22:8	O [5] 2:20 45:3 46:3	3:3 46:9	pending [1]	44:2	production [9]	
15:10 15:1		26:3 26:16	27:12	object [3]	3:8	Perhaps [1]	10:6	7:5 7:18	6:2 9:16
16:25 17:1 17:16 17:1		28:9 42:5		3:11 31:23	3.0	period [2]		10:15 24:6	37:10
19:11 19:1		member [4]	14:20	Objection [6]	31:11	28:15	16:20	41:2 46:12	
20:13 20:1		23:22 24:3	31:20	33:25 34:8	35:13	person [3]	17:8	prompted [1]	14:25
21:6 21:8		memo [1]	35:14	36:17 37:2		30:9 31:18	17.0	provide [1]	30:9
21:13 21:1		memoranda [1]	21:22	occasions [1]	18:6	PHELAN [1]	2:14	provided [5]	3:7
21:24 22:2 22:8 22:1		mention [2]	30:15	occurred [1]	33:11	phone [8]	14:2	3:22 6:4	9:2
25:20 25:2		30:18		October [1]	1:13	14:11 14:21	19:12	29:16	
26:7 26:1	5 26:25	mentioned [1]	17:13	off [2] 13:6	21:16	22:7 25:19	30:5	Public [6]	1:17
27:6 27:1		message [3]	43:12	offhand [1]	5:18	43:21		3:17 3:18 44:25 45:7	4:4
28:3 28:5 28:11 28:1		43:13 43:15	_ =	office [15]	12:8	piece [1] 9:10		purported[1]	42:14
28:11 28:1		met [12] 4:12	8:8	13:10 13:11	13:18	pieces [1]	9:21	pursue [1]	
30:23 31:3		9:2 9:9 12:11 13:5	12:3 13:9	14:15 14:18	15:6	place [5] 28:22	28:25		20:8
32:19 32:2	3 33:5	15:4 17:25	13:9	15:9 16:20 21:16 26:17	20:23	42:20 44:14	45:9	put[1] 37:24	44.6
33:6 37:1		22:2		37:19 39:3	30:16	plaintiff [1]	4:13	questions [1]	44:6
42:5 42:1 43:14 43:2		middle [1]	18:22	officer [18]	1:8	Plaintiffs [3]	1:4	quite [3] 12:15 25:8	16:17
43:14 43:2 46:13	2 43:25	Middletown [2		11:11 11:18	14:12	2:4 2:6		R [4] 2:2	2.20
Lipton's [1]	13:16	2:7	-	22:19 33:10	33:15	plaintiffs' [10] 6:4 7:4	6:2 9:15	45:3 46:9	2:20
Liptons [17]	8:4	might [2]	35:11	39:23 40:3	40:11	10:8 10:13	9:15 10:14	radio [6] 35:17	35:25
8:20 31:1		36:9		40:18 42:7	42:10	24:6 40:25	46:6	36:15 36:20	40:23
33:24 34:7		miles [1] 38:23		42:19 43:2 43:10 43:20	43:5	point [2] 32:17	32:18	41:5	
35:3 35:4	35:11	minutes [4]	16:18	officers [5]	32:21	police [68]	1:7	radioed [1]	33:22
35:19 36:2		21:17 41:10	41:21	39:6 39:17	39:21	1:8 4:9	5:11	rank[1] 22:22	
36:25 40:2 42:2	40:8	Monday [1]	26:11	41:6	JJ.24	5:13 5:16	5:22	rate[1] 31:20	
listen [3]	15.14	month [1]	23:19	offices [1]	1:14	6:14 6:16	6:20	reach [1] 16:10	
16:13 35:1	15:14 6	motion [1]	3:13	once [1] 18:12		7:20 8:3 8:14 8:16	8:9 8:20	read [6] 9:11	10:18
						0.17	G.20		

1 11:6 22:3	41:25	10:14	24:0	40:25	DOI 7 100 ;	4 1	4.40 1	ی .ی	٠	ړ د سه. ت	40:11		
11:6 22:3 44:13	41.23		46:6	40.23	1:23			Street [4	1	- 1	transmis	ssion ra	1
	13:3	retired [14:12	set [1]	18:23		1:23	2:4	2:10			36:2
17:5 45:8			42:22		several [1]	40:22	strike [2])	3:9	36:15	36:21	36:23
1	16:22	return (1	1	3:20	shall [4]		3:21	3:12	=		transmi	ssions r	31
		right [1]				4:2		Subject	[2]	11:8	34:23	37:10	46:12
	31:18	rights [2]		3:7	sheet [2]	7:10	40:20	11:10			transmi	tted [6]	5:16
	36:20	3:21		3.7	sheets [1	1	40:22	substan	ce [s]	20:2	5:22	6:13	6:16
records [1]	41:22	road [1]	18-12		short			21:19	26:6	30:18	6:19	9:7	
red [2] 9:10		ROBER		2:3	Shortha		1:16	30:22			trespass		27:16
reddish [1]		role [1]		2.3	shortly		14:5	such [5]		8:3	28:7	28:19	28:21
referred [2]	9:19	Ron [24]		7:24		22:15	14.5	8:25	36:9	37:7	29:17 30:12	30:2 31:2	30:7 31:14
18:14		8:8	8:12	7:24 8:15	shotgun		28:8	suggest		32:11	30:12	32:12	32:14
	7:7	8:16	9:2	9:8	33:18	[~]	20.0	suggest		32:13	32:19	32:23	33:2
	29:4	9:9	11:9	11:20	show [5]	5:25	9:14	SUPPL			33:9	33:18	33:24
41:3		12:3	12:6	12:11		40:22	42:6	suppose		13:19	34:7	36:16	38:24
	40:8	17:14	25:11	25:22	showing	[1]	42:7	surroun		24:21	40:9		
41:22	40.10	26:3 42:5	26:7 42:6	33:5 42:9	sign [1]			sworn [3:16	trial [3]	1:11	3:14
1	40:18	42:18	42.0	72.5	signatu		24:9	4:4	44:22		44:8		
reflects [1]	41:6	Ronald	r 7 7	1:3	signed		3:16	system	[1]	10:24	trooper 19:22	[4] 20:17	19:20
refresh [2]	6:12	2:21	4:12	4:24	38:25	39:2	40:2	T [9]	2:20	3:3	1		26:11
24:18		37:12	38:5	46:13	40:9	41:23		3:3	45:3	45:3	trooper		11:15
	9:8	Rules [1]	1	3:22	sister [1]	11:10		46:3	46:3	46:9	true [1]		
	22:9	RWS [1]			sitra	17:6	19:25	46:9			Tucker		13:15
regards [1]	43:14	Ryan [2]		40:3	33:14			tape [20]	16:14 17:14	16:15 17:17	15:7	15:9	
relationship [1]		S [9]	2:2	2:20	SMITH	[1]	2:6	17:19	17:14	18:20	turned [2]	21:16
1 1	24:25	2:20	3:3	3:3	social [1		18:4	18:25	19:5	19:9	29:19		
remainder [1]	20:10	24:9	46:3	46:9	someon	-	8:19	20:3	22:15	26:23	twelve		4:11
remarks [5]	17:19	46:9			29:12	31:15	33:21	26:25	31:17	35:16	twice [1]		
26:23 26:24	41:17	safety [1	ıj	11:8	sometin	DE [2]	6:24	35:21	36:20	42:14	two [9]	7:16	11:3
42:14	_	Saugert	ies [45]	1:7	30:16			tapes [5]		16:13	16:19 27:14	17:7 41:19	24:25 41:21
remember [27]	5:10	1:7	1:8	2:15	somewh	ere [1]	13:10	37:10	37:21	46:12	43:18	41:19	41:21
13:7 14:22 16:6 16:12	15:2 17:15	4:9	5:11	5:16	80n [1]	4:17		target [1		43:23	two-pag	YO 111	6:6
18:12 19:10	19:24	6:3 7:3	6:14 7:20	6:20 8:3	SOTTY [1]			telepho		17:4	typewri		11:13
19:25 20:21	22:14	8:14	8:19	9:2	sounds		10:10	19:6	27:6	29:5			
23:2 23:17	26:12	9:7	9:14	19:13	source [36:5	29:6	30:10	40:24	U [2]	3:3	46:9
27:11 27:14	28:16	19:18	22:19	22:24	36:9	36:11	30.3	ten [1]	16:18		under [2 33:17]	18:10
28:17 34:25	38:10	23:3	23:8	23:15	speak 12		19:8	testifie		4:5		1	05.10
42:16 42:25 43:19 43:24	43:8	24:5	24:19	25:25	42:12	I	19.0	testimo		3:10	underst	and [2]	25:13
	22.12	26:5	29:13 33:12	29:14 33:15	speakin	O T11	17:22	3:12	44:14			hoo	17.0
repeat [2] 31:6	23:13	32:5 33:21	33:12 34:5	33:15 34:18	specific			Thank		44:6	underst		
Reporter [2]	1:16	35:18	38:22	39:4	8:7	[2]	0.20	thereaf		15:4	UNIDE	914 TTLT	ED [1]
45:6	1.10	39:18	40:23	40:25	spoke [3	1	14:6	22:15	38:9			41.12	
Reporting [2]	1:15	41:6	41:25		25:22	29:9	2	thereby		3:23	unit [1]		1.0
1:23	1.13	sawed-	off [1]	28:7	state [8]		19:20	thereof		13:2	UNITE		1:2
request [2]	37:7	Says [2]	41:8	41:16	19:22	20:17	24:23	though	t [1]	19:3	Unknov		41:18
											unless	[2]	40:10

VALLEY REPORTING SERVICE 845-452-1988

Index Page 4

GREGORY E	IULBE	RT		CondenseIt [™]		up - yourself
41:24		46:3 46:3	46:9		***************************************	
up [9] 10:5	18:23	years [1] 4:11				
20:8 22:11	22:14	York [12]	1:2			
23:3 27:17	29:18	1:15 1:17	1:24			
32:17	06.00	2:5 2:7	2:11		!	
vague [1]	26:20	2:17 14:13 44:10 45:7	24:23			
Valley [2] 1:23	1:14	yourself [1]	7:20			
violation [3]	32:14	yoursen [1]	7.20			
33:11 33:16	32.17					
voice [1] 35:21						
waived[1]	4:2					
waiver [2]	3:13					
3:21	5.15					
walked [1]	20:24					
wants [1]	25:15					
Washington [2]	2:10					ĺ
2:16						
watch[1]	44:4					
week [1] 5:21						
wherein [2]	35:4					
37:6		1				
whole [2]	14:17					
17:15 withdraw [1]	21.12					
	31:12					
within [2] 27:12	5:21					
witness [3]	3:17					1
7:8 25:15	J.17					
woman [1]	14:24					
Woodstock [16]						
2:10 32:9	32:20					
32:22 33:10	33:15					
33:23 34:6 35:18 36:2	34:24 36:15					
36:19 37:11	46:12					
Wooton [3]	1:6	1				
2:9 2:23						
write [1] 25:18						
writing [4]	9:18					
22:3 32:11	37:24					
written [1]	7:19					
Wrolsen [45]	11:11	1				
11:15 14:21	16:25					
17:2 17:8 18:7 18:15	17:9 18:15					
18:16 18:18	19:15]				
19:19 20:14	22:9					
22:11 22:17	23:12					
23:15 23:21 24:9 24:15	24:3 24:19					
25:21 26:2	27:2					
31:5 31:16	31:20	-				
38:5 38:16	38:18					
38:19 38:21 39:18 39:21	39:6 40:4					
41:7 41:11	40:4 41:17					
42:12 42:15]				
Wrolsen's [8]	17:22					
17:25 18:7	24:16					
27:3 31:19	42:13					
42:15	07:15					
Wrolsens [9] 27:17 28:23	27:17 30:14	1				
31:3 39:14	30:14 39:16					
40:12 40:19	JJ.10					
X [5] 1:3	1:9					1